Technical Correction Notice — ENH-CN-2026-001

Reconciliation of Numerical Results and Project Identifiers in Previously Published ENHANCE Reports on GGGI

Structural Integrity Index 2026 · Six Projects, Three Continents, One Pattern · ISAR-2026-002: Disclosure After Scrutiny

Document Information	Details
Notice	ENH-CN-2026-001
Classification	Technical Correction and Numerical Reconciliation
Issued	15 October 2026
Issuer	ENHANCE Institute
Reports affected	*Structural Integrity Index 2026* (published 7 April 2026); *Six Projects, Three Continents, One Pattern* (report dated April 2026); *ISAR-2026-002: Disclosure After Scrutiny* (published 20 July 2026)
Status	Independent post-publication correction notice. The original reports remain preserved and are not replaced.
Document integrity	Upon publication, the SHA-256 hash of the final PDF will be recorded on the ENHANCE Research page.
Version	1.0

1. Purpose

This notice records specific numerical discrepancies, source-attribution corrections, and project-identifier clarifications identified through a post-publication review of three ENHANCE reports concerning the Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI).

The review identified inconsistencies among published summary statistics, project-level evaluation tables, and selected source identifiers.

The purpose of this notice is to distinguish verified corrections from numerical discrepancies that remain unresolved, and to clarify their implications for the originally published findings.

This notice follows ENHANCE's post-publication governance principles, including preservation of original records, transparent correction, version accountability, and the prohibition of silent replacement.

The original reports have not been altered.

Each item identifies the affected publication, the information originally reported, the correction or reconciliation, and its implications.

2. Classification of Items

The items in this notice are classified into two categories.

Correction

A correction is issued where a published value, reference, or statement can be demonstrated to be inaccurate and a verified correction or clarification is available.

Reconciliation

A reconciliation is issued where two or more published records are inconsistent, but the evidence currently available does not establish which value represents the authoritative underlying result.

In such cases, the discrepancy is disclosed without assigning a corrected value. Its implications are assessed using the calculation bases examined in this notice.

No unverified numerical value is designated as authoritative.

This distinction preserves the integrity of the original publication record while allowing subsequent verification findings to be disclosed without retrospective alteration.

3. Corrections and Reconciliations

Item 1 — SII 2026: Portfolio Summary Statistics

Classification: Reconciliation

Affected publication: *Structural Integrity Index 2026*, portfolio summary statistics, Figure 1, Appendix E, and Appendix F.

As published

Indicator	Published value
Portfolio mean SII	0.4109
Standard deviation (population basis)	0.0892
Evaluated assessment units	101

Reconciliation

The published score-distribution figures in SII 2026, including Figure 1 and Appendix E, record nine assessment units at a score of 0.45 and twenty at a score of 0.50.

The score distribution represented by these figures reproduces the published portfolio mean of 0.4109 and population standard deviation of 0.0892.

However, the project-level ranking in SII 2026 Appendix F, also reproduced in *Six Projects, Three Continents, One Pattern*, Appendix B, contains no entries at 0.45 and twenty-nine entries at 0.50.

Recalculation directly from the published project-level ranking produces a portfolio mean of 0.4153 and population standard deviation of 0.0922.

The published summary statistics and distribution figures are therefore internally consistent, but they are not consistent with the published project-level ranking.

The numerical discrepancy is concentrated within the 0.45–0.50 score band.

The exact source of this inconsistency has not been established. Accordingly, this notice does not designate either set of numerical values as the corrected authoritative result.

Effect on findings

Under both published numerical representations, the following portfolio characteristics remain unchanged:

Indicator	Unchanged result
Evaluated assessment units	101
Median SII	0.40
Minimum SII	0.15
Maximum SII	0.60
Tier I	0
Tier II	0
Tier III	10
Tier IV	57
Tier V	34
Combined Tier IV–V	91 (90.1%)

The portfolio-level observation that 90.1% of evaluated assessment records fall within Tier IV or Tier V remains unchanged.

The individual scores associated with the numerical discrepancy between the published distribution figures and project-level ranking remain unresolved.

Item 2 — ISAR-2026-002: Hypothesis 1, Structural Integrity Scores

Classification: Reconciliation

Affected publication: *ISAR-2026-002*, Section 2, Hypothesis 1.

As published

The report recorded the following group means:

Disclosure group	Published mean SII
Fifteen retained disclosure records	0.400
Eighty-six records no longer accessible through the original public review pathway	0.419

The report described the latter group as having a higher average structural integrity score.

Reconciliation

These group means cannot be reproduced from the published project-level ranking and the retained-disclosure list in ISAR-2026-002 Appendix A.

Using the Appendix F scores as published, recalculation produces approximately 0.413 for the fifteen retained records and 0.416 for the remaining eighty-six records.

However, as documented in Item 1, the published distribution figures and project-level ranking do not agree within the 0.45–0.50 score band.

If the distribution figures are taken as correct, nine of the twenty-nine entries displayed at 0.50 in the ranking would fall within the 0.45 score band.

The identity of those nine entries has not been conclusively established.

Under the possible allocations of those nine entries between the two disclosure groups, the absolute difference between their group means remains below 0.005. The direction of the difference is not invariant across those allocations.

Accordingly, the previously stated numerical comparison of 0.400 versus 0.419 is not retained as an established result.

No single replacement pair of group means is designated while the underlying score discrepancy remains unresolved.

Effect on findings

The recalculated group means do not establish a substantial difference in average SII scores between the two recorded disclosure groups.

The available mean comparisons do not substantiate the hypothesis that lower-scoring disclosures were preferentially associated with the observed loss of accessibility through the original public review pathway.

However, these comparisons alone do not establish the cause of the accessibility pattern or exclude every possible score-related selection mechanism.

The original disclosure observations remain part of the historical audit record.

This reconciliation concerns the numerical support for Hypothesis 1 and does not independently re-examine the causes of the recorded accessibility changes.

Item 3 — ISAR-2026-002: UZ03 Resource Partner

Classification: Correction

Affected publication:
ISAR-2026-002, Table 3.1 and Appendix A, retained disclosure record No. 15.

As published
UZ03 resource partner: KOICA / Republic of Korea.

Correction

The resource partner is corrected to:
Agence Française de Développement (AFD), France.

The corrected attribution is supported by GGGI's project disclosure and corresponding IATI activity information.

The original attribution to KOICA was a source-transcription error.

This correction applies to both Table 3.1 and Appendix A of ISAR-2026-002.

Effect on findings

The correction changes the attribution of UZ03 from KOICA / Republic of Korea to AFD / France. Among the fifteen retained disclosure records listed in Appendix A, the number attributed to KOICA consequently decreases from two to one.

The Section 3 observation — that every verified retained resource partner was either a "multilateral or institutional funding mechanism with independent public-accountability exposure" or a "sovereign government donor with direct programme visibility" — is unchanged.

UZ03 is now attributed to AFD (France), while ROA02 remains attributed to KOICA (Republic of Korea).

This correction does not establish a causal relationship between resource-partner identity and disclosure retention.

Item 4 — ISAR-2026-002: UG15 Retention Reference

Classification: Correction

Affected publication: *ISAR-2026-002*, Section 2, Hypotheses 2 and 5.

As published

UG15 was cited as one of the fifteen retained disclosure records and used as an example of a completed project remaining accessible.

Correction

UG15 does not appear in Appendix A, which contains the report's list of fifteen retained disclosure records.

The references to UG15 as a retained record in Hypotheses 2 and 5 are therefore withdrawn.

Appendix A remains unchanged.

This correction resolves an internal inconsistency between the report's narrative and its supporting retained-disclosure list.

It does not independently determine UG15's accessibility in July 2026.

Effect on findings

QA05 remains identified in Appendix A as a retained disclosure record with a Completed project status.

Accordingly, the presence of at least one completed project within the retained group remains documented.

This observation remains inconsistent with a universal retention rule under which all completed projects would have been removed from the original public review pathway.

The retained-disclosure count remains fifteen.

This correction does not independently revalidate the remaining hypotheses regarding disclosure management or accessibility.

Item 5 — Project Identifier Concordance

Classification: Correction and clarification

Affected publications: *Structural Integrity Index 2026* and *Six Projects, Three Continents, One Pattern*.

As published

Selected assessment records use project identifiers that differ from identifiers displayed on corresponding GGGI project pages.

Correction or clarification

The identifiers originally published by ENHANCE are preserved.

Corresponding identifiers displayed by GGGI are recorded alongside the original identifiers in Section 4.

Notation differences are distinguished from combined project pages, extension-phase identifiers, and relationships requiring further clarification.

Effect on findings

No numerical scores or tier assignments are changed by this identifier concordance.

Any unresolved project-identity relationship will be examined separately if material to an assessment record.

4. Project Identifier Concordance

The following concordance records differences between identifiers published by ENHANCE and those displayed on GGGI project pages as observed on 14 October 2026.

Its purpose is to support project identification and traceability across the original ENHANCE publications and the corresponding GGGI records.

The original ENHANCE identifiers are not replaced.

4.1 Notation Differences

The following entries concern assessment records for which the corresponding GGGI project page displays a different identifier notation.

ENHANCE published identifier	GGGI displayed identifier
ET017	ET17
ET015	ET15
UG81	UG081
LA38	LA038
ROA014	ROA14
ORC051	ROC051
ROC02	ROCO2
BF014	BF14
BF005	BF05
BF019	BF19

These differences are recorded for source identification and cross-reference purposes.

They do not independently indicate changes to project activities, financing, or implementation status.

4.2 Combined, Extension-Phase, and Other Identifier Relationships

The following entries involve combined disclosure pages, extension-phase identifiers, or identifier correspondences whose underlying relationships require further clarification.

ENHANCE identifier	GGGI displayed identifier	Corresponding project
GGPI11 (Sri Lanka)	GGPI12	Global Trust Fund on Sustainable Finance Instruments in Sri Lanka
ROA026	ROA26 & LK018	Transforming Sri Lanka's Tea Industry via Multi-Tiered Approach to Strengthen Climate Resilience and Sustainable Practices
LK05-LK14	LK05 & LK14	Facilitating the Transition to Sustainable and Low-Carbon Public Transport in Sri Lanka
ROC035	ROC035-E1	Climate Finance Access Support Project – Benin
TG02	TG02-E1	Strengthening Resilience to Climate Change of Vulnerable Population through Sustainable Forestry Resources Management, Togo

ENHANCE identifier	GGGI displayed identifier	Corresponding project
TG03	TG03-E1	Strengthening Togo's Institutional Capacity for a Low-Carbon Transport System (STILTS)

GGPI11 / GGPI12 — Sri Lanka

The current GGGI Sri Lanka project page displays GGPI12 for the assessment record published by ENHANCE as GGPI11.

The correspondence is based on the project description and funding information.

The relationship between the global programme identifier and the country-level activity remains subject to clarification.

ROA026 / ROA26 & LK018

The corresponding GGGI record displays two project identifiers within one disclosure page.

This relationship is recorded without treating the two identifiers as independent structural assessment units in this notice.

LK05-LK14 / LK05 & LK14

GGGI displays LK05 and LK14 within a combined public disclosure.

SII 2026 treated the combined record as one structural assessment unit.

ROC035, TG02, and TG03

The corresponding GGGI records display extension-phase identifiers ending in E1.

The original ENHANCE assessment identifiers are retained, and the extension-phase notation is recorded for cross-reference.

This concordance does not constitute a new evaluation of the underlying project architectures or their implementation performance.

5. Scope and Preservation

This notice is limited to the corrections, reconciliations, and identifier clarifications expressly identified above.

It does not constitute a complete re-verification of the three affected reports.

The numerical reconciliations address inconsistencies among published figures and do not independently re-evaluate the underlying project evidence.

The original reports are preserved unchanged as historical publication records.

Upon publication, this notice will be linked from the entry of each affected report on the ENHANCE Research page.

Any additional material discrepancy identified through subsequent examination will be assessed separately and, where warranted, addressed through a further correction notice, revision, or superseding publication.

Nothing in this notice should be interpreted as resolving matters outside its stated scope.

6. Further Corrections and Right of Response

ENHANCE Institute welcomes factual clarification, documentary evidence, and substantiated correction requests from affected institutions, resource partners, independent researchers, and other materially concerned parties.

Submissions may address numerical calculations, project identification, documentary attribution, source interpretation, or other matters capable of materially affecting a published finding.

ENHANCE will assess such submissions against the relevant evidence and the methodological scope of the original publication.

Where new information establishes a material error or requires modification of a published conclusion, ENHANCE will issue a further correction, clarification, revision, or superseding report, as appropriate.

All such actions will preserve the historical publication record and identify the nature, date, and scope of the subsequent change.

ENHANCE Institute

Independent Structural Audit and Research Division of ENHANCE Co., Ltd.

contact@enhance-institute.org
enhance-institute.org

Document ID: ENH-CN-2026-001

The original reports remain preserved as published.
This notice forms a separate and traceable part of the ENHANCE publication record.